



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/015,880	12/13/2001	Viktors Berstis	AUS920011011US1	2607
45993 75	590 02/22/2005	EXAMINER		INER
IBM CORPORATION (RHF)			PERUNGAVOOR, SATHYANARAYA V	
C/O ROBERT H. FRANTZ				
P. O. BOX 23324			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
OKLAHOMA	CITY, OK 73123		2625	

DATE MAILED: 02/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/015,880 BERSTIS, VIKTORS Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 2625 Sath Perungavoor All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Sath Perungavoor. (3)Robert Frantz. (2) Yon Couso. (4)\_\_\_\_. Date of Interview: 02/17/2005. Type: a) ✓ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1-21. Identification of prior art discussed: Resnikoff et al. and Tansley. Agreement with respect to the claims f was reached. g was not reached. f N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Application No. 10/015,880

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative stated that their invention is a predictable deterministic distribution schema and the examiner stated Resinkoff et al.'s look up table would read on it. Applicant's representative agreed with the examiner on this issue. Applicant's representative also stated Tansley does not teach the claimed limitations as applied on the 103 (a) rejection. Examiner stated that he will give this issue further consideration. Applicant's representative also stated their invention is directed toward uniformly spaced data samples. Examiner stated that he will also give this issue further consideration.